

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

With the entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 21-25 and 68-78 are pending in the application. Favorable consideration of the application is requested. This amendment is being submitted because the USPTO apparently misplaced pages 4 and 7 of applicant's prior response, which resulted in the Office Action dated December 5, 2008.

New claims 68-73 are supported by the original claims 8-13, and the corresponding portions of the specification. New claims 74-78 find support in the specification, e.g., an epoxidated compound (col.8, lines 51-55), a polyfunctional monomer (col.14, line 31), a polyhydric alcohol (col. 13, line 11), an oxetane compound having one or more oxetanes groups (col.3, lines 28-29), one or more free-radical photoinitiators (col. 15, line 34), one or more cationic photoinitiators (col.10, lines 8-9), a pigment and/or a dye (col. 16, line 2), one or more additives different than pigment or dye (col.16, lines 1-37), pentaerythritol tetra (meth)acrylate (col.14, lines 66-67), propoxylated modified glycerol (col.13, lines 32-33), 3-ethyl-3-hydroxymethyloxetane (col.6, lines 25-27), a free-radical photoinitiator 1-hydroxycyclohexyl phenyl ketone (col.15, line 63), a cationic photoinitiator (bis[4-diphenylsulfonio)-phenyl]sulfide bis hexafluoro antimonite (col. 11, lines 9-10), and a pigment and/or a dye (col.16, line 23).

No new matter has been added by the claim amendments.

Turning to the middle of page 2 of the Office Action, claims 54-58 stand rejected based on the recapture doctrine. Applicant respectfully disagrees, but notes that these claims have been cancelled without prejudice and while preserving its rights in these claims.

In the middle of page 3 of the Office Action, the reissue oath is questioned and claims 21-25 and 54-58 are correspondingly rejected as being based on a defective oath. Applicant requests the withdrawal of this rejection because the renewal application as originally filed

YAMAMURA et al
Appl. No. 10/671,438
December 30, 2008

(including the responses to the Notice to File Missing Parts) complied with the reissue statutes and included an oath that set forth the beliefs at the time of filing the reissue application. If the examiner would like the submission of a supplemental oath, then applicant would be pleased to do so, but applicant believes that the original oath was proper and covers the developments in this application.

In view of the foregoing remarks, applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance. A notice that effect is earnestly solicited.

If the examiner has any questions concerning this case, then the undersigned may be contacted at 703-816-4009.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:



Duane M. Byers

Reg. No. 33,363

DMB:lfo
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100